UTC Standard Annual Review Inspection Report Intrastate Operators FORM A: Annual Review

Print Inspection Record PHMSA Pipeline Regulations PHMSA Advisory Bulletins

Inspector and Operator Information

Inspection ID Inspection Link Inspector - Lead Inspector - Assist

8768 8768 Anthony Dorrough

Unit OPID# Records Location - City & State Operator BP Pipelines North America BP Pipelines HQ 31189 30 S Wacker Dr, Chicago, III 60606

Inspection Start Date Inspection Exit Interview Date Engineer Submit Date 08-15-2024 08-15-2024 08-16-2024

Inspection Summary

You must include the following in your inspection summary:

*Inspection Scope and Summary *Facilities visited and Total AFOD

Summary of Significant Findings

* Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Inspection Scope and Summary

The 2024 BP Pipelines annual review will focus on the questions listed in Form-A covering HQ programs for PA/Damage prevention, OQ, CRM which included the butane, crude and Ferndale gas lines

Facilities visted and total AFOD = 2

Wed Aug 14 - Records review inspection prep

Thu Aug 15 - Inspection and exit interview using MS Teams

Summary of Significant Findings

There were BLANK findings from the inspection

Primary Operator contacts and/or participants

Jim Bruen DOT Team Leader-Programs

Jim Fraley DOT Compliance Advisor

Operator executive contact and mailing address for any official correspondence

Gerald Maret, VP, US Pipelines & Logistics M.C. 9S 30S Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606

Instructions and Ratings Definitions

INSPECTION RESULTS: Annual Review

Satisfactory List **Unanswered List** Satisfactory Responses **Number of Unanswered Questions**

1,3,9,13,14,16,17,18,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,37, 19

Unsatisfactory Responses Unsatisfactory List

0

Area of Concern List Area of Concern Responses

Not Applicable Responses

Not Applicable List

4,5,6,7,8,10,12,15,19,20,21,22,23,35,39,40,41,42,43,44

Yes List

No Responses Yes Responses 34,38, 2

Not Checked / Evaluated Responses Not Checked / Evaluated List

**If an item is marked Unsat, AOC, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in the "Notes" block for that question and also summarized in the "SUMMARY OF REQUIRED COMMENTS" section at the end of this inspection form.

Crew Inspection History

Facility Inspection History

Scheduled Inspection History

GAS System Operations History

HL System Operations History

ANNUAL REPORT: ACCURACY/TRENDS

Was the Annual Report reviewed for accuracy and trends? If any trends were discovered, please describe.

Question 1-a. Are Operator Manuals Up to Date?

WAC 480-93-180(2) / WAC 480-75-660(2) requires operators to provide manual updates to the UTC.

Question 1-b. Are Operator Contacts Up to Date?

WAC 480-93-200(11) Requires operators to notify the UTC of changes to company contacts. HL does not have a corresponding rule.

BP provides viewing of all of their separate manuals and updates via their Dark Web code-key access which allows for records to be reviewed without compromise.

DAMAGE PREVENTION

2. Notes

Review the following damage prevention items:

Q2. Is the damage prevention information in the annual report complete?

Yes.

Q2.b. Is the annual report damages root cause information complete and accurate?

Q2.d Does the operator follow a process to evaluate the cause of "Locating practices not sufficient" category?

Q2.j. Are mapping corrections timely and according to written procedures?

Yes. They use a process that involves root cause analysis.

Q2.g. What is the number of damages resulting from mismarks?

Q2.e. Is the operator or its contractor qualified and following procedures for locating and marking facilities?

Q2.h. What is the number of damages resulting from not locating within the time ${\bf Q}$ requirements?

[0] Zero Q2.k. Does the operator follow a process to evaluate causes for damages listed

"Excavation Practices Not Sufficient"?

Yes. They use a process that involves root cause analysis.

BP uses root cause analysis for any damages involving locating errors -- Zero errors since the last inspection.

NPMS SUBMISSIONS/CHANGES

3. Result For transmission operators, has the operator submitted information to the NPMS database, along with changes made after the original submission? Satisfactory

BP submitted Mar 3, 2023

Yes. There were no mapping errors.

INCIDENT/SRC/AOC REPORTS REVIEW

Annual Report Damage Prevention data

Y	ear	Operator	Number	lotal	Locating	One-Call	Excavation	Other:	Number	lotal Leaks	lotal	Miles	Number	lotal	Number	Number	Number
			of	Number of	Practices	Notification	Practices		of	-	Main	of	of Leaks	Leaks	of Hits Per	of Hits	of
			Excavation	Excavation	Not	Practices	Not		Services	Excavation	Leaks	Service	per Mi l e	Per	1,000	Per	Hits to
			Tickets	Damages By	Sufficient:	Not	Sufficient:			Damage		MAIN	of MAIN	1,000	Ticket	10,000	Tickets
				Apparent		Sufficient:								Locates	Requests	Services	
				Root Cause:													
No Damages records found																	

Question #4

No List

1. Result Satisfactory

> 1a. Result Satisfactory 1b. Result

Satisfactory

Q2.c. Does the operator have a process to evaluate the cause of "One-call notification practices not sufficient" category?

Q2.f. Is the operator appropriately requalifying locators to address performance deficiencies?

Q2.i. Is the operator appropriately addressing discovered mapping errors resulting in

excavation damage?

Q2.L. Is the operator appropriately focusing damage prevention education and training to

address the causes of excavation damage? Yes.

4. Results

There were no reportable incidents or trends identified

Q4: Federally reportable incidents

Notification ID Operator NRC# Assigned Engineer Date & Time of Incident Street Address of event/incident Incident Address: City Closure Date Reporting Level Company

No Incident Notification records found

Question #5. Review operator records of previous year's accidents and failures including reported third party damage and leak response. Is the operator ensuring appropriate evaluation and response as required in 192.617 (Gas) or 195.402 (HL) to determine cause of failure? Is the operator taking appropriate steps to minimize the possibility of reoccurrence?

Not Applicable

6. Result

Applicable

Applicable

5. Result

Notes

There were no accidents or damages identified

Review incident reports for the previous year for accuracy and identify any trends. If any trends discovered please describe. (Please see list of incident data at end of this report)

Q6: Incident Reports

Reporting Level $Notification {\color{red}ID}$ Inspector Company Street Address of event/incident Date & Time of Incident Is 30-Day Report Received?

No Incident Notification records found

6. Notes

There were no incidents or trends identified

Question #7.

 $Were there \, reportable \, or \, unreportable \, safety \, related \, conditions \, during \, the \, previous \, year? \, If \, yes \, please \, describe$

Q7: Report of SRCs

Reportable? Report Date Notification D Safety-Related SafetyRelatedConditionChoices Date & Time of Incident Company Notified Date Company Condition

No Incident Notification records found

7. Notes

There were no SRC's identified

Question #8. For transmission systems, were there any abnormal operating conditions (as described in 192.605 (c) or 195.402(d)) since the last annual review? If yes please describe.

Not **Applicable**

There were no SRC's identified.

O&M & EMERGENCY PROGRAMS

Question #9.

Is the O&M Manual up to date and were changes made in the previous year?

The O&M and OMER manuals for BP Cherry Point and Olympic Laterals were inspected 12/9 thru 12/11/2020, with no findings. Cherry Point O&M manuals are scheduled for inspection on 9/10/2024.

Question #10.

If changes to the O&M were made, are changes acceptable?

No changes since last inspection. The last revision was on 5/6/2020

Question #11.

Were emergency plans changed during the previous year?

11. Result Satisfactory

10. Notes

11. Notes

Question #12.

Were any changes to emergency plans satisfactory?

12. Result Not Applicable

No changes since last inspection. The last revision was on 5/6/2020

INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

Question #13

Were there changes to the Integrity Management programs (TIMP, DIMP or both, as applicable)?

The TIMP is located in OMER procedure #P-192.901, last revised on 5/6/2020. with no changes since last inspection Dec 6, 2020. LIMP inspection of BP Cherry Point, and Olympic laterals Feb 16-19, 2021 with no findings

Question #14. Is the DIMP/TIMP up to date? What are the results of the operator's program review (effectiveness evaluation) (DIMP every 5 years)?

Per. IMP Annual Summary with previous years program and performance measures. LIMP inspection of BP Cherry Point, and Olympic laterals Feb 16-19, 2021 with no findings. Staff reviewed IMP Annual Summary for 2023.

Question #15

Are IMP program changes acceptable? 15. Notes

The TIMP is located in OMER procedure #P-192.901, last revised on 5/6/2020. with no changes since last inspection Dec 6, 2020. LIMP inspection of BP Cherry Point, and Olympic laterals Feb 16-19, 2021 with no findings

Question #16

Was appropriate assessment/repair work conducted during the past year? (monitor progress of IMP activities) 16. Notes

Staff reviewed IMP Annual Summary for 2023.

Question #17 Does the operator's HCA location data correspond to the positional data located in UTC GIS?

LIMP inspection of BP Cherry Point, and Olympic laterals Feb 16-19, 2021 with no findings.

Question #18

What assessment work is planned for the upcoming year? 18. Notes

BP has assessment planned in 2025 & 2026 for gas pipelines and 2024 for the crude and butane pipelines. Question #19

Within the operator's DIMP, are low pressure systems evaluated for overpressure threats?

19. Notes

Transmission Operator

Did the operator develop and follow specific procedures for low pressure system construction or maintenance projects? (Note: this question is revisited in greater detail in the ADB review

20. Notes Transmission Operator

Question #21

Are plastic pipe and components that have shown a record of defects/leaks being mitigated through the DIMP plans?

21. Notes Transmission Operator

Question #22

7. Result Not Applicable

8. Result

9. Result Satisfactory

Operator Manuals on Sharepoint

10. Result

Not Applicable

13. Result

14. Result Satisfactory

Satisfactory

15. Result Not Applicable

16 Results Satisfactory

17. Results Satisfactory

18. Results Satisfactory

19. Results Not Applicable

20. Results

Not Applicable

21. Results Not Applicable

22. Results

Has appropriate DIMP remediation work occurred during the past year? (monitor progress of DIMP activities) Not Applicable

Question #23

What DIMP remediation work is anticipated for upcoming year?

Transmission Operator

Transmission Operator

OQ PROGRAM

Question #24

Is the OQ program up to date? Were there changes to the Operator Qualification (OQ) program in the last year? If yes, please describe.

Question #25

The UTC conducted a BP HQ OQ inspection on BP and Ferndale Pipeline Systems on Wed Jun 28 2024. There were no issues noted from the inspection.

Are the OQ plan updates satisfactory?

25. Notes

24. Notes

The UTC conducted a BP HQ OQ inspection on BP and Ferndale Pipeline Systems on Wed Jun 28 2024. There were no issues noted from the inspection.

26. Results Are personnel performing covered tasks (including contractors) properly qualified and requalified at intervals determined in the operator's plan? Satisfactory

The UTC conducted a BP HQ OQ inspection on BP and Ferndale Pipeline Systems on Wed Jun 28 2024. There were no issues noted from the inspection. OQs for covered tasks were checked for a Cleaning Pig Installation on 16-inch pipeline in Ferndale.

Question #27

Is the PA program up to date? And were there changes to the Public Awareness (PA) program within the last year?

27. Notes

The UTC conducted a BP HQ PA inspection on BP and Ferndale Pipeline Systems on Fri Jul 12 2024. There were no issues noted from the inspection

Question #28

PUBLIC AWARENESS PROGRAM

Are changes to the PA program satisfactory? Satisfactory

28. Notes

The UTC conducted a BP HQ PA inspection on BP and Ferndale Pipeline Systems on Fri Jul 12 2024. There were no issues noted from the inspection

CONTROL ROOM PROGRAM

Question #29 29. Results Is the CRM program up to date? And were there changes to the Control Room Management (CRM) program within the last year? Satisfactory

A PHMSA CRM audit was conducted in 2020 in Tulsa OK. Any changes to the CRM will be based on results from audit.

Question #30 30. Results

Are the CRM program changes satisfactory?

The results of the 2021 PHMSA CRM audit was just completed in 2024 and is at this time being analyzed at BP.

SAFETY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM API 1173

31. Results Question #31

Is the operator developing and implementing an API 1173 Safety Management System?

Satisfactory

Notes

30. Notes

Per. OMS-Operating Management System. BP hired an independent contractor to evaluate their new OMS system vs the API 1173 Standard with the results still being analyzed.

INSPECTOR ASSESSMENT OF INSPECTION UNITS

Question #32 32. Results Are inspection units broken down appropriately? Do you recommend any changes to inspection units? Satisfactory

Staff feels current unit configuration to be adequate.

Q32: List of current inspection units

Unit Name	Distribution/Transmission	Intrastate or Interstate?	GAS or LIQUID	Operator - OPID	Unit ID	Date Created			
BP Pipelines North America (3 Unit records)									
BP Cherry Point - Crude	Hazardous Liquid	Intrastate	Liquid	31189	BP Cherry Point - Crude	02-06-2019 10:50 AM			
BP Pipelines HQ	Hazardous Liquid	Interstate	Liquid	31189	31189	07-01-2019 08:53 AM			
BP Cherry Point - Butane	Hazardous Liquid	Intrastate	Liquid	31189	BP Cherry Point - Butane	07-01-2019 08:54 AM			

OPERATOR'S PUBLIC WEB PAGE

33. Results Question #33

For informational purposes: Using the drop down selections available in the "Results" block, indicate whether the operator's web page contains the information listed by placing a check in the box adjacent to all items that are present.

Q33.A Pipeline Purpose and Reliability; Q33.B Damage Prevention; Q33.C Pipe Location Information; Q33.D How to get additional information; Q33.F On Call Requirements; Q33.G Potential Hazards; Q33.H Prevention Measures; Q33.I Leak/Damage Recognition; Q33.J ROW Encroachment; Q33.K Pipeline Location Information; Q33.M Emergency Preparedness

34 Results

36. Results

37. Results

Satisfactory

23. Results

24. Results

Satisfactory

25. Results Satisfactory

27. Results

Satisfactory

28. Results

Satisfactory

Not Applicable

33. Notes

Number of results seem adequate.

ADVISORY BULLETIN REVIEW Question #34

Is there potential for damage to the operator's pipeline facilities caused by flooding, river scour, or channel migration?

ADR 2019-01

ADB 2019-01 Flood Mitigation NO

34. Notes

No waterways with scour potential Question #35

No waterways with scour potential

If "YES" to Q34, did the operator take appropriate action in accordance with the guidance contained ADB 2019-01? Discuss ADB's guidance with operator's representative, and annotate any concerns

ADB 2019-01 35. Results Not Applicable

ADB 2019-01: Flood Mitigation 35. Notes

Question #36

ADR 2019-02

Is there potential for the operator's system to be damaged by earth movement or other seismic/geological activities?

ADB 2019-02: Earth Movement/Geological Hazards YES 36. Notes

 $Determined \ to \ be \ in \ an \ area \ with \ an \ earthquake \ intensity \ scale \ of [4]-light \ shaking, \ lower \ threat \ to \ pipeline \ integrity.$

Seismicity: [4] - Monitor for earthquakes in proximity in accordance to earthquake response process

Question #37

If "YES" to Q36, did the operator take appropriate action according to ADB 2019-02? Discuss suggested actions from ADB with operator's representative and annotate any concerns. ADB 2019-02

ADB 2019-02: Earth Movement/Geological Hazards 37. Notes

Seismicity: [4] - Monitor for earthquakes in proximity in accordance to earthquake response process

Question #38 Does the operator have any indoor meter sets or regulators in their system?

ADB 2020-01

ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets

Transmission Operator

Question #39

If operator's system has indoor meter sets/regulators, did the operator review ADB 2020-0115? Discuss ADB guidance with operator's representative and annotate any concerns. Particular attention must be given to the operator's plan to conduct leak surveys, AC inspections, and other maintenance activities in locations that are difficult to access, as well as the inclusion of inside meters/regulators within the operator's DIMP plan, as applicable.

ADB 2020-01 ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets

39. Notes

Transmission Operator

Question #40

Is the operator's record of locations for all indoor meter sets/regulators within their system complete and sufficently detailed?? ADB 2020-01

ADB 2020-01 Inside Meter Sets

40. Notes

Transmission Operator

Question #41

Does the operator have any low pressure systems?

ADB 2020-02 ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems

41. Notes

Transmission Operator Question #42

If "YES" to Q41, did the operator review ADB 2020-0025 for Overpressure Protection of Low-Pressure Natural Gas Distribution Systems? Review ADB guidance with operator and annotate any concerns.

ADB 2020-02

ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems

42. Notes

Question #43

Transmission Operator

For low pressure construction/maintenance projects, does the operator have a process for review of engineering plans and constructibility reviews that are carried out through all applicable departments? Do all applicable departments review project plans for accuracy, completeness and correctness? How are control procedures developed that could identity system threats that could result in a common failure mode? How is the operator mitigating risk in their low presure system?

ADB 2020-02

ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems

43. Notes

Transmission Operator

Question #44

Does the operator include all low-pressure system risks in their DIMP program appropriately?

ADB 2020-02

ADB 2020-02 Low Pressure Systems

44. Notes

Transmission Operator

COMMENTS: Required for any ratings other than Satisfactory. Summarize/consolidate entries from the "Notes" blocks above. Ensure you annotate the question number for each comment.

Q4 - Not Applicable - There were no reportable incidents or trends identified

Q5 - Not Applicable - There were no accidents or damages identified.

Q6 - Not Applicable - There were no incidents or trends identified.

SUMMARY OF REQUIRED COMMENTS

Q7 - Not Applicable - There were no SRC's identified. Q8 - Not Applicable - There were no SRC's identified.

Q10 - Not Applicable - No changes since last inspection. The last revision was on 5/6/2020.

Q12 - Not Applicable - No changes since last inspection. The last revision was on 5/6/2020.

Q15 - Not Applicable - The TIMP is located in OMER procedure #P-192.901, last revised on 5/6/2020. with no changes since last inspection Dec 6, 2020. LIMP inspection of BP Cherry Point, and Olympic laterals Feb 16-19, 2021 with no findings.

Q19- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

Q20- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

Q21- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

Q23- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

Q34- No - No waterways with scour potential

Q35- Not Applicable - No waterways with scour potential

Q38- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

Q39- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

Q40- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

Q41- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

Q42- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator Q43 - Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

Q44- Not Applicable - Transmission Operator

38. Results

NO

Not Applicable

39. Results

40. Results Not Applicable

41. Results

Not Applicable

42. Results

Not Applicable

43. Results

Not Applicable

44. Results

Not Applicable